

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box →



Substitute for form 1449A/B/PITO

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(Use as many sheets as necessary)

Sheet	1	of	1	Attorney Docket Number	224009 (MS Ref. # 305892.01)
-------	---	----	---	------------------------	---------------------------------

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials	Doc. No.	U.S. Patent Document		Name of Patentee or Applicant	Date of Publication	Filing Date If Appropriate
		Application or Patent Number	Kind Code			

### OTHER - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials	Doc. No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number (s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Translation Yes      No <sup>+</sup>
	C I	KEIDAR, Idit, et al.; On the Cost of Fault-Tolerant Consensus When There Are No Faults – A Tutorial; SIGACT News 32(2), <i>Distributed Computing</i> column, pp. 45-63; June 2001.	
	C J	DWORK, Cynthia, et al.; Consensus in the Presence Of Partial Synchrony; <i>Journal of the ACM</i> , 35(2):288–323, April 1988.	
	C K	LAMPSON, Butler W.; How to Build a Highly Available System Using Consensus; <a href="http://www.research.microsoft.com">http://www.research.microsoft.com</a> . (pub. 1996)	
	C L	LAMPORT, Leslie; The Implementation of Reliable Distributed Multiprocess Systems; <i>Computer Networks</i> , 2:95–114, 1978.	
	C M	LAMPORT, Leslie, et al.; The Byzantine Generals Problem; <i>ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems</i> , Vol. 4, No. 3, July 1982, pp. 382-401.	

Examiner Signature	/Scott Sciacca/	Date Considered	03/01/2011
--------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------

\* A concise statement of relevance is being submitted in lieu of a translation. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).

+ An English-language equivalent/patent, or an English-language abstract, or an English-language version of the search report or action by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application indicating the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is being submitted in lieu of a concise explanation of relevance under 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).